



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Project Finance 2018

7th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into project finance

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro

Allens

Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune

Baker McKenzie

Bignon Lebray

Borenus Attorneys Ltd

Brigard Urrutia

Cases & Lacambra

Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP

Cuatrecasas

Flor & Hurtado

Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

Guilherme Daniel & Associados

IPFA

Kluge Advokatfirma AS

Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law

Luthra & Luthra Law Offices

Mattos Filho, Veiga Filho, Marrey Jr. e Quiroga Advogados

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

Mohamed Ridza & Co

N. Dowuona & Company

Oraro & Company Advocates

Patrikios Pavlou & Associates LLC

Pekin & Bayar

Ploum

PrimePartners Wirtschaftskanzlei

Redcliffe Partners

RLA – Sociedade de Advogados, RL

Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie

Vieira de Almeida



global legal group

Contributing Editor

John Dewar, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

Sales Director

Florjan Osmani

Account Director

Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager

Toni Hayward

Sub Editor

Oliver Chang

Senior Editors

Suzie Levy
Caroline Collingwood

Chief Operating Officer

Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor

Alan Falach

Publisher

Rory Smith

Published by

Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design

F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source

iStockphoto

Printed by

Ashford Colour Press Ltd
May 2018

Copyright © 2018

Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-912509-07-2

ISSN 2048-688X

Strategic Partners



General Chapters:

1	Why the World Needs Multi-Sourced Project Financings (and Project Finance Lawyers...) – John Dewar & Oliver Irwin, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP	1
2	Poland's Progress to Create a Public-Private Partnership Project Pipeline – Peter Swiecicki & Igor Hanas, IPFA	7
3	US Infrastructure Outlook 2018: The White House Proposal – Jose A. Moran & Juan Carlos Gonzalez Novo, Baker McKenzie	11

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

4	Andorra	Cases & Lacambra: Miguel Cases & Marc Ambrós	16
5	Angola	Vieira de Almeida and RLA – Sociedade de Advogados, RL: Manuel Protásio & Vanusa Gomes	25
6	Australia	Allens: Ben Farnsworth & Michael Ryan	35
7	Brazil	Matts Filho, Veiga Filho, Marrey Jr. e Quiroga Advogados: Pablo Sorj & Bernardo Mόcho	44
8	Canada	Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP: Alison Manzer & Charles Newman	55
9	Colombia	Brigard Urrutia: Manuel Fernando Quinche & César Felipe Rodríguez	64
10	Cyprus	Patrikios Pavlou & Associates LLC: Stella Strati & Stylianos Trillides	74
11	Ecuador	Flor & Hurtado: Mario Flor & Daisy Ramirez	84
12	England & Wales	Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP: John Dewar & Munib Hussain	93
13	Finland	Borenus Attorneys Ltd: Mikko Heinonen & Niina Nuottimäki	110
14	France	Bignon Lebray: Sébastien Pinot & Serge Rastorgoueff	117
15	Germany	PrimePartners Wirtschaftskanzlei: Adi Seffer	130
16	Ghana	N. Dowuona & Company: Nana Ama Botchway & Akosua Achiaa Akobour Debrah	138
17	India	Luthra & Luthra Law Offices: Bikash Jhavar & Rohit Raghavan	146
18	Indonesia	Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro: Emir Nurmansyah & Freddy Karyadi	154
19	Japan	Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune: Kunihiro Yokoi & Wataru Higuchi	169
20	Kenya	Oraro & Company Advocates: Pamella Ager & James K. Kituku	177
21	Malaysia	Mohamed Ridza & Co: Mohamed Ridza Abdullah & Mohamad Nazran Basirun	188
22	Mexico	Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.: Jorge Cervantes & Diego Hernández Schmidt-Tophoff	204
23	Mozambique	Vieira de Almeida and Guilherme Daniel & Associados: Teresa Empis Falcão & Guilherme Daniel	211
24	Netherlands	Ploum: Tom Ensink	220
25	Nigeria	Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie: Adeola Sunmola & Tolulope Osindero	228
26	Norway	Kluge Advokatfirma AS: Linn Hoel Ringvoll & Snorre Haukali	236
27	Portugal	Vieira de Almeida: Teresa Empis Falcão & Ana Luís de Sousa	245
28	Spain	Cuatrecasas: Héctor Bros & Jaume Ribó	255
29	Taiwan	Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law: Hsin-Lan Hsu & Pauline Wang	266
30	Turkey	Pekin & Bayar: Selin Bayar & Esen Irtem Karagöz	275
31	Ukraine	Redcliffe Partners: Olexiy Soshenko & Olena Polyakova	285
32	USA	Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP: Eric F. Silverman & Simone M. King	295

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Spain

Héctor Bros



Jaume Ribó



Cuatrecasas

1 Overview

1.1 What are the main trends/significant developments in the project finance market in your jurisdiction?

The Spanish economy grew at a healthy rate – just above 3% – in 2017. This, together with the expansionary monetary policy of the European Central Bank and the balance sheet clean-up exercise (which is almost in its final stage for most banks), has boosted the appetite of lenders for new projects. However, partly due to budgetary constraints and also related to the fact that the country now boasts – notably in its highway and high-speed train networks – one of the most extensive and modern infrastructure systems, there continues to be a stark contrast between the limited number of new projects available and the greater appetite for funding among lenders (driven also by the abundant cash sitting on their balance sheets).

Notwithstanding the general scarcity of new projects, a number of major infrastructure funds have acquired relevant interests in many concession-driven projects, a good part of them prompted by the need of cash-strapped sponsors to reduce their corporate debt. In most cases, lenders have welcomed the newcomers, partly because they were the main beneficiaries of the de-leveraging process of the relevant sponsor, and partly because of the incentive to gain access to new clients. As in previous years, most new financings have been offshore, mainly in Latin America and Europe, although 2017 has seen some far-reaching interesting highway projects, such as the approval by the Central Government of the Extraordinary Plan for Roads Investment (*Plan Extraordinario de Inversión en Carreteras*), which sets the pace for up to €5bn of PPP-based investments on highway and other high-capacity roads, including both construction and maintenance, distributed among some of the top 20 projects of the Trans-European Network (TEN), which is intended to be tendered in full before 2020.

On the energy side, the renewable power sector has experienced a revival during 2017, following the awarding of up to 5,000 MW of new wind and solar projects, after several years of scarcity of new projects, mostly due to adverse changes in the regulatory framework occurring during the crisis. Together with this, the year has continued to witness high levels of investment from alternative lenders, which have mainly concentrated on brownfield distressed transportation (the Madrid toll roads being the most relevant example) and, to a lesser extent, energy projects.

1.2 What are the most significant project financings that have taken place in your jurisdiction in recent years?

In the area of transport, most of the new projects have been located in

the northern regions, such as the €320m project financing of the N-636 Gerediaga–Elorrio road, in Vizcaya, or the €900m project financing of the hard-toll highways AP-1/AP-8 and GI-632, in Gipuzcoa, which included the €500m refinancing of the European Investment Bank tranche. Project finance structures have also been used to permit the acquisition of hard-toll highways, such as the privatised tunnels of Vallvidrera and Cadi, acquired by Abertis and BTG in 2012 and recently reshuffled to accommodate a new investor. Equally, a number of interesting and fairly innovative deals have been implemented for underground, tram and other railway-based infrastructure, such as the three concessions for the huge underground Line 9 of Barcelona (totalling more than €3bn in public-private partnership (PPP) schemes), the light rail systems of Malaga and Bilbao, and the financing of some of the civil engineering works behind the impressive high-speed rail network. Some of these projects have now been the object of major divestments by the original sponsors, with institutional infrastructure funds taking the bulk of the equity stakes.

Other significant deals have been closed in the context of the acquisition of various portfolios of car parking facilities (most notably, Parkia and Empark in 2016 and 2017, respectively), in some cases as a result of joint ventures with publicly controlled companies (e.g. the €200m financing of Bamsa) or in the context of tender processes sponsored by governmental entities, such as the railway management company Adif.

In the waste treatment business, the country has also seen interesting investments in waste treatment plants (e.g. the Ecoparks of Zabalgardi and Zubieta), which in some cases have been at the mercy of unexpected political changes.

Finally, in the renewable energy business, the recent awarding of a large amount of greenfield MWs for photovoltaic and wind-based projects (see question 1.1 above) has resulted in significant new project financings for major groups such as Forestalia, Grupo Jorge Energía or Grupo Cobra.

2 Security

2.1 Is it possible to give asset security by means of a general security agreement or is an agreement required in relation to each type of asset? Briefly, what is the procedure?

Spanish law does not provide for a so-called “universal security” over the entire debtor’s assets. Nor does it generally admit the creation of a “floating” or “adjustable” lien or encumbrance (except for certain mortgages and pledges over cash-like instruments). Therefore, a security agreement is usually required in relation to each type of asset.

Typically, the security package in a project finance transaction in Spain comprises a pledge over the shares of the project company, one or more pledges over bank accounts and receivables arising from project agreements (EPCs, operation and maintenance agreements, hedging agreements, insurance policies, etc.) and, eventually, actual or contingent security over the project's physical assets (whether by means of a pledge or a mortgage, depending on the type of asset).

2.2 Can security be taken over real property (land), plant, machinery and equipment (e.g. pipeline, whether underground or overground)? Briefly, what is the procedure?

Real property is taken as security by means of a real estate mortgage (*hipoteca inmobiliaria*). Under Spanish law, real estate mortgages cover: (i) the plot of land and the buildings built on it; (ii) the proceeds from the insurance policies insuring such property; and (iii) the improvement works carried out on the property and natural accretions. Should the parties agree to it, such mortgage may also include movable items located permanently in the mortgaged property.

Security over machinery and equipment may be created by means of a chattel mortgage (*hipoteca de maquinaria industrial*) or a non-possessory pledge (*prenda sin desplazamiento de maquinaria industrial*). The choice will depend on whether the specific asset meets certain legal requirements.

2.3 Can security be taken over receivables where the chargor is free to collect the receivables in the absence of a default and the debtors are not notified of the security? Briefly, what is the procedure?

In Spain, security over receivables can be taken in two different ways:

- (i) By creating a possessory pledge. Although the use of this form of security for receivables is not expressly contemplated under the applicable law (the Spanish Civil Code), it has traditionally been admitted by case law and widely applied in practice. Under this pledge, the pledgor would be entitled to collect the receivables in the absence of a default, unless agreed otherwise. However, the obligation to notify the debtor about the creation of the pledge is, in the opinion of the majority of scholars and certain case law, a requisite for the effective transfer of possession under the relevant pledge (although some hold the opinion that such notification is not a perfection requirement but a precautionary measure to avoid the relevant debtor of the receivables being released from its payment obligation by paying the original creditor, i.e. the pledgor). As a matter of practice, it is sometimes agreed that notice to the relevant debtors shall only be given upon potential or effective default, or if certain covenants (e.g. financial ratios) are not met.
- (ii) By creating a non-possessory pledge (*prenda sin desplazamiento de la posesión*), except if they are represented by securities or considered financial instruments. Under this form of pledge, no notification to the relevant debtor would be required (unless such debtor is a public authority), on the basis that the filing of such pledge with the relevant Chattel Registry would give it the necessary publicity *vis-à-vis* third parties. As with the possessory pledge, unless otherwise agreed, this form of security would not prevent the pledgor from collecting the receivables in the absence of enforcement.

2.4 Can security be taken over cash deposited in bank accounts? Briefly, what is the procedure?

The pledge of bank accounts is simply a pledge of the credit rights

of the holder of the account *vis-à-vis* the bank, which should typically correspond to the account balance.

The formal requirements are identical to those that apply in the case of any other possessory pledge of receivables. Possession is transferred by notification to the depository bank. The creation of the pledge does not imply, unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the freezing of the account.

In the event of pledges over bank accounts securing cash settlements of financial instruments (such as netting-based financial agreements), it may be possible to subject the pledge to a specific regime regulated under Royal Decree 5/2005. The pledge created under this regime would not require notarisation.

2.5 Can security be taken over shares in companies incorporated in your jurisdiction? Are the shares in certificated form? Briefly, what is the procedure?

Yes, it is certainly possible, and is one of the most common types of security in Spanish project finance transactions.

If the shares to be pledged belong to a private limited company (*sociedad limitada*), as the quota units are not represented by issued certificates, possession is transferred by their entry into the notarial deed of pledge and, eventually, registration of the pledge in the Registry Book of Shareholders (*Libro Registro de Socios*) of the relevant pledged company.

When the shares belong to a public limited company (*sociedad anónima*), transfer of possession is achieved as follows: (i) if the share certificates (*títulos múltiples* or *resguardos provisionales*) have been issued, by endorsing the title guaranty and entering the pledge in the Registry Book of Shares (*Libro Registro de Acciones*); and (ii) if no share certificates have been issued, by registration of the pledge in the Registry Book of Shares.

In both cases, it is also advisable for the pledgee to request and obtain certification from the company's secretary that the pledge has been entered in the Registry Book of Shareholders or the Registry Book of Shares, as applicable, which will also comply with the requirement of notification of the pledge to the company whose shares are pledged.

When the pledged company has its shares represented by book entries (*anotaciones en cuenta*), the pledge must be entered in the relevant account, and is enforceable against third parties once entered in the book entry register; in the case of shares traded on a Spanish secondary market, the book entry register will be held by the central clearing house. On request, the entity responsible for the book entry register will issue a certificate stating that the pledge has been entered.

2.6 What are the notarisation, registration, stamp duty and other fees (whether related to property value or otherwise) in relation to security over different types of assets (in particular, shares, real estate, receivables and chattels)?

For possessory pledges to be opposable to third parties, a notarised agreement (*póliza notarial*) or, as the case may be, a deed (*escritura pública*), must be created, as these public documents verify the date and terms and conditions of the pledge (other than pledges under Royal Decree 5/2005; see question 2.4 above).

Some other types of security are subject to compulsory notarisation and entry on public registries (particularly mortgages and non-possessory pledges), which has certain implications in terms of cost, due to: (i) registry fees; and (ii) stamp duty of 0.5% to 1.5% of the secured liability (principal, interest and any related costs), depending on the region where the collateral is located.

Notary fees are fixed amounts that vary according to the secured liability (approximately 0.03% of the secured liability), although in transactions with an aggregate value higher than €6m they can be reduced if negotiated with the notary.

Registry fees will be approximately 0.02% of the secured liability.

2.7 Do the filing, notification or registration requirements in relation to security over different types of assets involve a significant amount of time or expense?

For security documents that need to be filed within a public registry, the expected elapsed time from the date the documents are notarised to the actual filing by the public registry is usually from two to six weeks, assuming the relevant security document was correctly drafted and no errors were found by the registry that needed to be amended by the parties. As to registry fees, see question 2.6 above.

2.8 Are any regulatory or similar consents required with respect to the creation of security over real property (land), plant, machinery and equipment (e.g. pipeline, whether underground or overground), etc.?

Regulatory or other consents with respect to the creation of security over real property or machinery would apply only in very limited cases, depending on the exact location of the asset, its nature and the parties involved.

3 Security Trustee

3.1 Regardless of whether your jurisdiction recognises the concept of a “trust”, will it recognise the role of a security trustee or agent and allow the security trustee or agent (rather than each lender acting separately) to enforce the security and to apply the proceeds from the security to the claims of all the lenders?

Spanish law does not recognise trusts as a legal concept. Therefore, security trustees, although used in transactions where foreign lenders are involved, are seldom used for a Spanish security package. Instead, lenders tend to appoint an agent for the Spanish security, which would hold the security in its own name and on behalf of the other lenders.

It is possible for the security agent to enforce claims on behalf of the lenders and the other secured parties, as long as each party grants a notarised power of attorney to the security agent, expressly authorising it to carry out the enforcement proceedings. This system nevertheless has two problems: from a practical perspective, Spanish banks are reluctant to grant powers of attorney to other banks, and prefer to appear themselves throughout the enforcement proceedings; and from a legal perspective, authors and case law are inconsistent regarding the role of an agent acting on behalf of a syndicate of lenders upon enforcement.

3.2 If a security trust is not recognised in your jurisdiction, is an alternative mechanism available (such as a parallel debt or joint and several creditor status) to achieve the effect referred to above which would allow one party (either the security trustee or the facility agent) to enforce claims on behalf of all the lenders so that individual lenders do not need to enforce their security separately?

The structure of “parallel debt” between lenders and a special

purpose vehicle (SPV) is not known under Spanish law. Should this structure be adopted in a transaction where the secured obligations are governed by Spanish law, there is a risk that it is considered to be a fiduciary relationship unsupported by a real credit of the agent against the project company. If the secured obligation is governed by foreign law, there should be no problem for the Spanish security to secure such parallel debt, to the extent this is valid and enforceable under the applicable law. Notwithstanding this, there are clearly insufficient judicial precedents to assure that this will always be validated by the courts.

4 Enforcement of Security

4.1 Are there any significant restrictions which may impact the timing and value of enforcement, such as (a) a requirement for a public auction or the availability of court blocking procedures to other creditors/the company (or its trustee in bankruptcy/ liquidator), or (b) (in respect of regulated assets) regulatory consents?

The timing and value of enforcement will depend very much on the type of security enforced and the enforcement proceedings chosen by lenders.

Enforcement of collateral security is typically carried out through a public auction, in the context of judicial or notarial proceedings. For notarial enforcements, see question 5.4 below.

The rights derived from the relevant security can be judicially enforced either through declaratory civil proceedings or through summary proceedings. The latter action is faster and more effective, while the former is costly and time-consuming. However, to start summary proceedings, certain requirements must be met. In particular, the lender shall: specify the agreement giving rise to the claim and the final due amount, with a full break-down of each concept; provide a notarial deed where a Notary Public affirms that calculations have been made in accordance with the terms and conditions of the facility agreement; and present a copy of the notarial request for payment addressed to the debtor.

For the enforcement of a pledge, the court will request the deposit of the pledged assets; and for the enforcement of a mortgage, the court will give notice of the foreclosure to any other mortgage creditor. Once the above actions have been taken, the court will publish a date for auction. The debtor will only be able to oppose foreclosure under limited circumstances, such as prior extinction of the pledge, full payment of the secured obligation or existence of a material error on the calculation of the due amounts.

The time schedule for the actual recovery of amounts through enforcement will depend on each case, since there is no time limit for the court to complete the proceedings.

The enforcement of pledges over receivables may also be achieved through set-off.

Restrictions on enforcement of security regarding regulatory consents are very specific, usually related to energy transactions, and will ultimately depend on the kind of project and the assets on which security is enforced.

4.2 Do restrictions apply to foreign investors or creditors in the event of foreclosure on the project and related companies?

Generally, there is no distinction between domestic and foreign entities when it comes to foreclosing Spanish security granted by a project company or in relation to a project.

5 Bankruptcy and Restructuring Proceedings

5.1 How does a bankruptcy proceeding in respect of the project company affect the ability of a project lender to enforce its rights as a secured party over the security?

As a general rule, as from the declaration of insolvency of the project company, secured lenders will be prevented from enforcing their security until the earlier of the following: a composition of creditors is approved; or at least one year has elapsed since the declaration of insolvency, provided that during this period no liquidation proceedings have been commenced.

Exceptionally, the above standstill period will not apply if the insolvency judge determines that the assets which constitute the object of security are not devoted to the business activity of the insolvent company, do not constitute a productive unit of such company or, eventually, such asset is not necessary for the continuation of the business operations.

At any time during the standstill period, the insolvency administrator may decide to satisfy immediately any due amounts to the secured lenders, in order to avoid the relevant security being enforced.

5.2 Are there any preference periods, clawback rights or other preferential creditors' rights (e.g. tax debts, employees' claims) with respect to the security?

Any claims of secured creditors will be qualified as "privileged claims" up to the value of the collateral on which they fall; any excess being qualified as an "ordinary claim" or, in the case of interest claims, a "subordinated claim". As a general rule, no third parties may benefit from the value of the secured assets insofar as the secured creditor has not been paid (whether by enforcement of the relevant security or at the request of the insolvency administrator – see question 5.1 above). In connection with this, secured creditors will not be affected by the contents of the creditors' composition agreement unless they agree otherwise.

It may be possible to challenge security created "to the detriment of the insolvency estate" within the two years preceding the declaration of insolvency, even in the absence of fraudulent intent. In particular, there is a presumption of prejudice to the insolvency estate in the event: (i) that the security was granted for pre-existing debts or for new debt incurred to cancel pre-existing, unsecured debt; or (ii) of any prepayments or other acts of early cancellation of secured payment obligations.

Refinancing agreements achieved before the date of insolvency which meet certain requirements (substantial increase in the available credit, extension of the maturity date, general enhancement of the financing obligations of the relevant debtor) and which result in a general improvement of the prospects of the debtor in the short and medium term shall not be challengeable during the two-year clawback period, provided that: (i) the refinancing agreement is entered into with creditors representing at least 60% of debtor's liabilities as of the date of the agreement; (ii) the terms of the agreement allow the future viability of the company; and (iii) the refinancing agreement is formalised as a notarial deed. Irrespective of the above, if a refinancing agreement meets all the following requirements it shall also not be challengeable during the two-year clawback period if: (i) it increases the ratio of assets over liabilities; (ii) the current assets exceed the current liabilities; (iii) the value of security does not exceed 90% of the existing debt for such creditors,

nor the percentage of the existing debt covered by security prior to the refinancing agreement; (iv) the applicable interest rate has not increased by more than 33% over the prior applicable interest rate; and (v) the refinancing agreement is formalised as a notarial deed.

If the refinancing agreement: (i) has been ratified by at least 51% of the debtor's financial liabilities; and (ii) substantially increases the available credit, extends the maturity date, or enhances the financing obligations of the relevant debtor, such agreement may be sanctioned by the insolvency judge, in which case it may also bind dissident lenders (subject to such refinancing agreement having been entered into by certain reinforced majorities, ranging from a minimum threshold of 60% to 80%, depending on whether or not the particular dissident lenders are secured and the scope of the cramdown).

5.3 Are there any entities that are excluded from bankruptcy proceedings and, if so, what is the applicable legislation?

Governmental entities of any type (whether territorially based – such as national, regional or municipal authorities – or those of a functional nature) will not be subject to the Insolvency Act. However, companies directly or indirectly controlled by governmental entities will also be subject to general bankruptcy laws.

Additionally, certain types of companies (such as banks and other credit entities, financial services companies or insurance companies) are subject to specific insolvency regulations, although the composition, appointment and operation of the insolvency administration will still be regulated by the Insolvency Act.

5.4 Are there any processes other than court proceedings that are available to a creditor to seize the assets of the project company in an enforcement?

Yes; out-of-court foreclosure, available for certain types of security, is typically carried out by a Notary Public and takes the form of a public auction. The terms and conditions of such auction are loosely regulated in the law and hence they usually follow the provisions agreed by the parties in the relevant security document. For any unregulated aspects, the Notary Public tends to follow equivalent provisions applicable to judicial enforcements. Should the auctioned assets not be acquired by any bidder, after the two first auctions, lenders are given the option to acquire ownership over such assets, but in that case lenders shall not be able to sue the grantor of security for any outstanding amounts.

In the case of security over bank accounts or listed securities, particularly when the secured obligation consists of cash settlement agreements or derivative contracts, secured lenders may appropriate directly and immediately the secured assets, without conducting a public auction. Equally, certain regional laws (such as Catalan law) expressly permit private sales of the secured assets or, in the case of highly liquid security, appropriation by set-off.

5.5 Are there any processes other than formal insolvency proceedings that are available to a project company to achieve a restructuring of its debts and/or cramdown of dissenting creditors?

Section 5*bis* of the Spanish Insolvency Act provides companies with a way to achieve restructuring of their debts without having to request a formal declaration of insolvency.

The directors of a company may submit to the relevant court a notification that the company has initiated negotiations in order to achieve the restructuring of its debt, for which the company has three months. If negotiations fail after such time, the company has a month to request a formal declaration of insolvency.

5.6 Please briefly describe the liabilities of directors (if any) for continuing to trade whilst a company is in financial difficulties in your jurisdiction.

In accordance with the Spanish Insolvency Act, the insolvency situation of a company may be considered by the court to be “fortuitous” or “guilty”. The insolvency will necessarily be considered “guilty” in certain scenarios specifically described by the Spanish Insolvency Act, which mainly consist of situations where the directors of the insolvent company failed to carry out their obligations with the necessary diligence (i.e., amongst others, keeping separate accounting books, embezzling assets of the company, fraudulently detracting assets from the company or failing to request the declaration of insolvency within two months after the directors knew or should have known that the company was insolvent).

If the insolvency is considered to be “guilty”, the consequences for the directors may include:

- (i) prohibition from managing assets from third parties and from acting on behalf of third parties, for a period ranging from two to 15 years;
- (ii) the loss of any rights they may have as creditors of the insolvent company;
- (iii) the obligation to return any funds or assets they may have unduly obtained; and
- (iv) eventually, the liability to refund all or part of the unpaid debts of the insolvent company.

6 Foreign Investment and Ownership Restrictions

6.1 Are there any restrictions, controls, fees and/or taxes on foreign ownership of a project company?

With the exception of certain special sectors, such as air transport, broadcasting, telecoms or national defence, foreign investment is widely liberalised. However, non-resident investors must notify the Foreign Investment Registry of the amount, destination and form of investment, mainly for statistical purposes. The obligation to notify does not restrict the ability of the foreign investor to remit income coming from investment outside Spain.

Exchange controls are also liberalised, so that, as a general rule, currency is freely transferable through a registered bank account from Spain to any country and *vice versa*, although certain information must be provided periodically (monthly, quarterly or annually) depending on the amounts of foreign transactions carried out over a calendar year.

Recently enacted anti-money laundering regulations now provide that any company shall have a Spanish Tax Identification Number when entering into potentially taxable transactions (e.g. execution of notarial deeds with tax implications). The aforementioned identification does not have any impact on the residence for tax purposes of non-resident entities.

In addition, a Spanish or foreign entity entering into a notarial deed or other equivalent agreement shall identify its “real owner” (*titular real*), i.e. whether there is any individual ultimately owning 25% or more of the share capital of the foreign entity, and if there is not, the identities of the members of the board of directors.

6.2 Are there any bilateral investment treaties (or other international treaties) that would provide protection from such restrictions?

This is not applicable. See question 6.1 above.

6.3 What laws exist regarding the nationalisation or expropriation of project companies and assets? Are any forms of investment specially protected?

Expropriation of private property must be based on public utility or social interest. These concepts and the procedure for expropriation are strictly regulated, with very limited, if any, room for governmental discretion. No distinction is made between national and foreign ownership.

Generally, governmental authorities shall have no pre-emption rights for the sale of property, other than in the case of protected natural areas and certain regulated businesses.

7 Government Approvals/Restrictions

7.1 What are the relevant government agencies or departments with authority over projects in the typical project sectors?

The agencies and departments with authority over a project greatly depend on the kind of project. In energy projects, the responsible authority would be the state’s government to authorise any construction, transfer or modification of premises for the production, transport or distribution of energy when the project affects more than one region or the energy is transported or distributed outside the region where it is produced. Regional governments have competences regarding electricity generation and distribution inside their respective region and therefore depending on the size and location of the project, they may also have a role.

Another relevant agency in the energy sector is the National Markets and Competition Commission (CNMC), operating since October 7, 2013, which includes within the same entity different supervisory responsibilities for each regulated sector (energy, postal, transportation, competition, etc.). Therefore, nowadays the scope of the CNMC spans all energy sectors (electric power, fuel, gas, etc.) and also acts as a consultant for the Spanish and regional government in all matters regarding energy sectors and is strongly involved in the applicable regulation.

7.2 Must any of the financing or project documents be registered or filed with any government authority or otherwise comply with legal formalities to be valid or enforceable?

Generally, security instruments are notarised and, in the case of mortgages and certain forms of pledges over chattel property, filed at the relevant public registry, such registry typically being the land registry in the case of real estate and the chattel property registry in the case of machinery, IPRs, receivables and other forms of chattel property. See section 2 above.

We refer to question 6.1 above for notification requirements to the Foreign Investment Registry or to the Bank of Spain, as the case may be.

Specially regulated sectors, such as energy or telecommunications, may require specific supervision from regulatory bodies. Equally, administrative concessions and mortgages upon such concessions need to be approved by the relevant concession granting entity and subsequently filed with the land registry.

7.3 Does ownership of land, natural resources or a pipeline, or undertaking the business of ownership or operation of such assets, require a licence (and if so, can such a licence be held by a foreign entity)?

Although the actual ownership of land or natural resources (other than water, mineral resources, natural gas and oil) does not require a licence, developing a business or project related to such land or resources may require certain permits or authorisations granted by authorities at national or regional level depending on the size and location of the project, which may be related to the nature of the industry or its environmental impact. Local licences (for example, for construction) may also be required.

7.4 Are there any royalties, restrictions, fees and/or taxes payable on the extraction or export of natural resources?

Under Spanish law, there are several state taxes payable on the exploitation of electricity generation projects and on the processing of energy products (oil, gas, etc.) when those are used as fuel. Some of these taxes are harmonised with EU Directives and are called special taxes on electricity and on hydrocarbons.

Generally speaking, these special taxes are not actually paid by the project company but its payment (if all legal requirements are met) is suspended until the electricity or energy product reaches the final consumer, who will be the actual payer.

There is also a state tax on oil and gas extraction and exploitation based on the extension of land used for such purposes and the amount of years the project company will be authorised to operate on such land.

Moreover, since January 1, 2013, there is another state tax on electricity generation based on the production of electricity either on renewable or conventional electricity production installations which will be subject to a tax rate of 7%.

Additionally, there are other regional and local royalties payable on the extraction of natural resources based on the environmental impact the project may cause. Those royalties vary depending on the kind of natural resource and the region where the extraction takes place.

Finally, project companies in the extraction and exploitation of hydrocarbons sector will be subject to company income tax at a special rate of 30% (instead of the general tax rate which is 25%).

7.5 Are there any restrictions, controls, fees and/or taxes on foreign currency exchange?

There are no currency, exchange control or other regulatory restrictions that limit the availability or transfer of funds for the project company to make any payments due, subject to the notification requirements provided in question 6.1 above.

7.6 Are there any restrictions, controls, fees and/or taxes on the remittance and repatriation of investment returns or loan payments to parties in other jurisdictions?

Other than withholding taxes (see section 17), there are no

restrictions on the repatriation of investment returns or loan payments to non-resident parties, except for the obligation to notify the Foreign Investments Registry or, in the case of loans and other forms of debt financing, the Bank of Spain, as described in question 6.1 above.

Section 18 of the Spanish Corporate Income Tax Act provides for a general limitation on the tax deductibility of financial expenses. Net financial expenses exceeding 30% of the operating profits of a company – as defined by the Spanish tax legislation – are not tax-deductible.

For that purpose, net financial expenses are defined as the difference between the financial expenses (except those not deductible under article 15 g), h) and j) of the Spanish Corporate Income Tax Act) and the interest obtained.

In all cases, net financial expenses up to €1m are tax-deductible. The net financial expenses which were not tax-deductible in a particular tax year can be carried forward with no time limitation. In the event that the financial expenses do not exceed the 30% threshold, the difference between such 30% and the percentage of the operating profit of a tax year can be added up to the limit of the subsequent five tax years.

7.7 Can project companies establish and maintain onshore foreign currency accounts and/or offshore accounts in other jurisdictions?

Yes. However, bank accounts held by Spanish companies outside Spain and operations carried out through such accounts are subject to regular declarations to the Bank of Spain.

7.8 Is there any restriction (under corporate law, exchange control, other law or binding governmental practice or binding contract) on the payment of dividends from a project company to its parent company where the parent is incorporated in your jurisdiction or abroad?

In addition to the withholding taxes described in section 17 below, the following restrictions may apply:

- (i) under Spanish corporate law, there are certain requirements regarding the payment of dividends, which are common to many other jurisdictions, such as the need to set off losses from previous years or to assign 10% of the profits to a statutory reserve until the reserve reaches 20% of the share capital, as well as other requirements intended to avoid the payment of dividends by a company that is not financially viable; and
- (ii) from a contractual perspective, project financing agreements will typically restrict the distribution of dividends. Standard restrictions would include: (i) meeting certain financial covenants; (ii) reaching a certain percentage of repayment of senior debt; (iii) ensuring that the project has been operating for a number of years; and (iv) cash sweep provisions.

Additionally, minority shareholders may be entitled to have their shares purchased by the project company if the shareholders decide not to distribute any dividends notwithstanding the fact that the company has operational profits.

Finally, under certain concessions or other administrative contracts, public authorities establish minimum percentages of equity throughout the project, which could also act as a restriction on the payment of dividends.

7.9 Are there any material environmental, health and safety laws or regulations that would impact upon a project financing and which governmental authorities administer those laws or regulations?

There are certainly environmental, health and safety regulations potentially applicable to a project financing, which may vary substantially depending on the type of project and the region where the project is developed, since part of the legal competences in such aspects correspond to regional governments.

From an environmental point of view, before commencing any large-scale construction work potentially affecting the environment, it will likely be required to obtain the previous approval of the relevant regional environmental authority, by means of an environmental impact assessment issued by the project company, which the relevant authority would review and approve, usually subject to the fulfilling of certain regulations and measures aimed at assessing and subsequently mitigating the environmental risks associated with the project.

In conclusion, the federal nature of the Spanish administration and the complexity and dispersion of regulations make it necessary to carry out a case-by-case analysis.

7.10 Is there any specific legal/statutory framework for procurement by project companies?

As long as they do not use governmental funds or subsidies, there is no specific legal framework for procurement by privately-held project companies, except in the case of entities operating in regulated sectors such as energy or water.

However, as a matter of practice, it is relatively common that project companies wishing to subcontract large works (mainly construction and civil engineering) set out a procurement system resembling that applied by governmental entities, particularly with regard to the hiring principles (transparency, non-discrimination, concurrence and equal treatment). In some cases, notably infrastructure concession contracts, this contracting framework may be imposed by the concession granting authorities in order to ensure that part of the concession works are subcontracted to competitors of the concessionaire.

8 Foreign Insurance

8.1 Are there any restrictions, controls, fees and/or taxes on insurance policies over project assets provided or guaranteed by foreign insurance companies?

Generally not, although in the case of concessions and other administrative contracts it might be necessary to complete a case-by-case analysis of the relevant concession terms.

8.2 Are insurance policies over project assets payable to foreign (secured) creditors?

Generally yes, although for the avoidance of any doubt it would be advisable to review the relevant insurance policy.

9 Foreign Employee Restrictions

9.1 Are there any restrictions on foreign workers, technicians, engineers or executives being employed by a project company?

Foreign workers (nationals outside of the European Union and Switzerland) generally need to obtain a work permit for stays of over 90 days in Spain, irrespective of their professional level (worker, technician, engineer or executive).

The two main kinds of work permit are: (i) a work permit for transnational rendering of services, which is used by companies which transfer an employee who remains hired by a foreign company, hence subject to the social security and tax regulations of the foreign company and generally lasts for a maximum period of one year, which can be extended to an additional year; and (ii) a regular work permit, which allows working in Spain for a period of time between 90 days and five years, if the person in question is hired by a Spanish company.

Despite all the above, depending on the origin country of the foreigner going to Spain, the application for a visa might also be required for stays shorter than 90 days, so as to allow entrance into the country and the possibility to render services.

In terms of Social Security, employees in the EU and Switzerland benefit from an EU regulation enabling employees to work throughout the different countries while maintaining their Social Security benefits in the country of origin. Similar regimes are foreseen for other countries through bilateral treaties (a treaty having been recently signed with the People's Republic of China). In addition, under settled case law, if certain requirements are met, bilateral treaties subscribed between EU Member States improving the current EU Regulation will apply.

Finally, case law has also stated that EU Regulation will apply to non-EU Member State nationals who lawfully render services in the EU and transfer within the EU Regulation geographical scope.

10 Equipment Import Restrictions

10.1 Are there any restrictions, controls, fees and/or taxes on importing project equipment or equipment used by construction contractors?

Customs duties may apply on imported project equipment from outside the EU, as established by EU regulations and trade treaties between the EU and third countries. In addition, the European Commission has powers to impose anti-dumping measures in line with World Trade Organization (WTO) principles.

10.2 If so, what import duties are payable and are exceptions available?

This will be determined by the common customs tariff uniformly applied by the EU Member States for imports which originate in third countries, and will depend on the nature of the imported equipment and its country of origin. As with anti-dumping measures, the duty, product and producers affected are established by a Council Regulation.

11 Force Majeure

11.1 Are force majeure exclusions available and enforceable?

Article 1.105 of the Spanish Civil Code sets out as a general rule the absence of liability of any party for damages caused by a situation of *force majeure*. However, the parties can waive contractually the application of this general liability exclusion regime. Accordingly, most project financing agreements and other project contracts include as a specific event of default the occurrence of a *force majeure* event, which is usually defined in detail in the relevant contract. It is nevertheless unclear that Spanish courts would recognise acceleration of a project facility on the grounds of *force majeure*, unless there is a substantial impact on the ability of the project company to meet its payment obligation under the relevant facility, or the viability of the construction or operation of the project is seriously in danger as a result of *force majeure*.

Lenders are typically excluded from any contractual liability if they fail to provide the required funds due to *force majeure*. In the current economic turmoil, market disruption clauses are widely used.

In administrative contracts, such as concessions with public authorities, *force majeure* is a regulated term related to natural disasters or major alteration of public order, and is one of the circumstances which would entitle the concessionaire to benefit from an indemnity from the public authorities, in the event that the situation of *force majeure* renders the performance of the contract uneconomical for the concessionaire. Such indemnity may take different forms; typically, higher tariffs or longer concession terms. If the *force majeure* event impairs the continuation of the project, public authorities may choose to terminate the concession and indemnify the relevant concessionaire.

12 Corrupt Practices

12.1 Are there any rules prohibiting corrupt business practices and bribery (particularly any rules targeting the projects sector)? What are the applicable civil or criminal penalties?

The Spanish Criminal Code prohibits the bribery of national and foreign government officials. This is defined as the conduct of a private individual who offers or delivers a handout or remuneration of any kind to an authority, civil servant or person who participates in the exercise of public duties in order for the latter to perpetrate an act that is against the duties inherent to his or her office, or in order for him or her not to carry out such act, or to delay what he or she should carry out. The same prison sentences and fines are foreseen for the corrupt authority, officer or person. This is without prejudice to the additional punishment for the act perpetrated, omitted or delayed due to the remuneration or promise, if such act also constitutes a felony (which may imply criminal penalties of up to €9m or two to five times the profit obtained).

These penalties shall also be applicable when charges are brought against, or the acts concerned affect, officers of the European Union or civil servants who are nationals of another Member State of the Union.

The Criminal Code also prohibits influence-peddling, described as influencing a civil servant or authority by taking advantage of any situation arising from his personal relation with him/her or with another public officer or authority, to obtain a result that may

directly or indirectly generate a financial benefit for him/her or for a third party, which shall be punished with imprisonment for six months to two years and a fine of one to two times the benefit intended or obtained.

Spanish jurisdiction would also apply to crimes committed abroad against the Spanish public administration. Perpetration of an act defined by law as a felony or misdemeanour shall entitle the victim to reparation for the damages and losses caused thereby.

13 Applicable Law

13.1 What law typically governs project agreements?

Typically, project documents are governed by Spanish law (particularly when project counterparties consist of Spanish entities), although the relevant parties can choose to apply a foreign law, in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No. 593/2008 of the Parliament and the Council on the Law Applicable to Contractual Obligations (Rome I). The selection of a foreign law will be valid and legally binding in Spain, and a Spanish court would apply such law provided that the contents of the relevant provisions of the chosen laws may be duly proved before the Spanish court without contravening the principles of Spanish public policy.

13.2 What law typically governs financing agreements?

Financing agreements are also typically governed by Spanish law, although as a matter of practice, when the group of lenders is dominated by foreign banks or international institutions, English or New York law may be the preferred option. Again, a foreign law may be chosen by the parties to govern financing agreements in accordance with the provisions of the Rome I Regulation (see question 13.1 above).

Security documents must, however, comply with the “*lex rei sitae*” principle existing under Spanish law, which determines that the governing law of a security document must be the law of the jurisdiction where the asset is located. Specific fiction location rules may apply to non-tangible assets (for example, in the case of receivables, location will be determined by the place of payment or the nationality of the debtor) and, for registrable assets, the governing law will be that of the place of the public registry where the asset is filed.

13.3 What matters are typically governed by domestic law?

Generally, security documents relating to assets located in Spain, and personal guarantees granted by Spanish entities (particularly when the assets of the relevant Spanish guarantor are mainly located in Spain), are governed by domestic law.

In concession agreements and other PPP agreements, the contractual relationship between the concessionaire and the public authorities will always be subject to the Spanish administrative laws.

14 Jurisdiction and Waiver of Immunity

14.1 Is a party's submission to a foreign jurisdiction and waiver of immunity legally binding and enforceable?

Under Spanish law, waiver of immunity is legally valid and enforceable unless it relates to certain entities which are affected

by special immunities, such as: (i) persons or entities under public law, and assets owned by such entities; (ii) autonomous organisations, semi-public entities or agencies; (iii) diplomatic and consular entities; and (iv) certain cooperatives. Further, the assets connected to a public service (such as the assets of an administrative concession) may also be subject to immunity even if they are operated by private entities.

Submission by the parties to a foreign jurisdiction is valid, binding and enforceable in Spain: (i) in the case of foreign courts covered by conventions, in accordance with the provisions of Council Regulation (EC) No. 593/2008 of 17 June 2008 on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters or the applicable bilateral convention; and (ii) in the case of foreign courts not covered by Brussels/Lugano or bilateral conventions, in accordance with the domestic conflict of law regulations, which would reject the selection of foreign courts in cases where the exclusive jurisdiction of the Spanish courts under the Spanish Law of the Judiciary is violated.

15 International Arbitration

15.1 Are contractual provisions requiring submission of disputes to international arbitration and arbitral awards recognised by local courts?

Yes, the express submission by the parties to international arbitration and arbitral awards contained in an agreement will be recognised by Spanish courts in accordance with the provisions of the 1958 United Nations Convention on Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (the “New York Convention”), of the 1961 European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration (the “Geneva Convention”) and of Spanish Law 60/2003 of 23 December 2003 on Arbitration (the “Spanish Arbitration Law”).

15.2 Is your jurisdiction a contracting state to the New York Convention or other prominent dispute resolution conventions?

Spain ratified the New York Convention in 1977. Also, Spain ratified the Geneva Convention in 1975.

15.3 Are any types of disputes not arbitrable under local law?

The Spanish Arbitration Law does not list the matters which cannot be subject to arbitration. Instead, such law establishes that a dispute may be arbitrable “if the parties may dispose of” the subject matter of obligation. Examples of disputes which are not arbitrable will mainly be related to family law (e.g. parental authority, marital status, filiation) and matters in which the Public Prosecutor’s Office has to intervene (e.g. criminal procedures).

15.4 Are any types of disputes subject to mandatory domestic arbitration proceedings?

Arbitration is only possible if the parties involved have expressly agreed to it in the relevant agreement or in a separate document. To solve a dispute through arbitration, the express will of the parties to submit their disputes to arbitration is legally required. Such will shall be verifiable in writing, contained in a document signed by the parties or in an exchange of communications (letter, fax, telex, telegram or other means) evidencing such express agreement.

16 Change of Law / Political Risk

16.1 Has there been any call for political risk protections such as direct agreements with central government or political risk guarantees?

Political risk clauses are very unusual in Spanish project finance. In those cases where there are serious concerns regarding future changes in government policy which could affect the existing agreements between a project company and a public authority, the project company (or its lenders) would typically seek that the project be backed by a specific government resolution. Direct agreements with public authorities are very unusual.

17 Tax

17.1 Are there any requirements to deduct or withhold tax from (a) interest payable on loans made to domestic or foreign lenders, or (b) the proceeds of a claim under a guarantee or the proceeds of enforcing security?

Generally speaking, interest paid by a Spanish borrower under a loan to a domestic lender (other than a financial institution) is subject to withholding tax at 19%. Likewise, interest income paid to a non-EU tax resident is subject to withholding tax at 19%, unless a lower rate applies under a tax treaty (treaty rates ranging between 5% and 15%). Interest payments to EU residents or EU permanent establishments (other than those residing in tax-haven jurisdictions) are not subject to withholding tax (irrespective of whether payments are made to a financial institution or a regular company).

On the other hand, proceeds of a claim under a guarantee or the proceeds of enforcing security are generally subject to withholding tax as if such payments were made by the borrower.

17.2 What tax incentives or other incentives are provided preferentially to foreign investors or creditors? What taxes apply to foreign investments, loans, mortgages or other security documents, either for the purposes of effectiveness or registration?

As a member of the European Union, Spain benefits from the free transit of goods within the EU, including exchange rate fluctuations and transaction costs. Therefore, Spain’s EU membership represents an important part of its foreign policy.

Additionally, Spain has more than 75 income tax treaties currently in force, as well as a remarkable treaty network with Latin American countries which reduces or eliminates the Spanish taxes payable to residents of treaty countries.

The main tax incentive is the Spanish international holding company (ETVE) regime, which nowadays is a well-established legal framework that has turned Spain into one of the most favourable jurisdictions within the EU to channel and manage international investments. ETVEs can benefit from an exemption on inbound and outbound dividends and capital gains, as long as certain requirements are met. Since ETVEs are Spanish regular entities, they are treated like regular limited liability companies, and can therefore benefit from tax treaties signed by Spain, as well as EU Directives.

Under Spanish law, there are no relevant taxes on foreign investments in addition to those that would apply to a Spanish investor.

18 Other Matters**18.1 Are there any other material considerations which should be taken into account by either equity investors or lenders when participating in project financings in your jurisdiction?**

Most of the relevant issues have already been covered in the previous sections.

18.2 Are there any legal impositions to project companies issuing bonds or similar capital market instruments? Please briefly describe the local legal and regulatory requirements for the issuance of capital market instruments.

Companies bearing the legal form of a private limited company (*sociedad limitada*) may not be legally entitled to issue, guarantee or secure bonds and other debt securities. In addition, public limited companies (*sociedades anónimas*) are subject to certain quantitative limitations in the amount of unsecured bonds outstanding at any one time, as compared to their level of equity and reserves. These limitations should not apply to banks and other credit entities or listed and insurance companies, and there are other more minor exceptions. In the context of certain PPP projects, the issue of bonds may be subject to prior consent by the relevant governmental authority, although the general regulations on concessions are permissive on the issue of bonds.

Capital market instruments may be structured as private or public placements (depending on the type of investors addressed, number of retail investors addressed, total issue amount, minimum amount of securities to be acquired per investor, and minimum unit par value of the securities). Private placements have no disclosure or supervision requirements with the Spanish Securities and Exchange Commission (to the extent that the relevant instruments are not intended to be listed in an official market). Nevertheless, except for private placements exclusively addressed to qualified investors, private placements require the intervention of an authorised financial entity in order to promote the allocation of securities.

19 Islamic Finance**19.1 Explain how *Istina'a*, *Ijarah*, *Wakala* and *Murabaha* instruments might be used in the structuring of an Islamic project financing in your jurisdiction.**

Istina'a, *Ijarah*, *Wakala* and *Murabaha* are not instruments expressly recognised under Spanish law. However, it is sometimes possible to structure project finance transactions in compliance with *Shari'ah* law using other instruments recognised under Spanish law which, although they do not have the same characteristics as the original Islamic instruments, are similar in nature; such as an EPC agreement for the *Istina'a*, an operating lease for the *Ijarah*, a mandate for the *Wakala* or the purchase of chattel property with deferred payment for the *Murabaha*.

19.2 In what circumstances may *Shari'ah* law become the governing law of a contract or a dispute? Have there been any recent notable cases on jurisdictional issues, the applicability of *Shari'ah* or the conflict of *Shari'ah* and local law relevant to the finance sector?

There is no relevant case law in Spain regarding the application of *Shari'ah* law as the governing law of a contract or dispute. However, it is unlikely that Spanish courts will accept its application, unless the governing law of the relevant agreement is set as the law of a country with legislation based on *Shari'ah* law (see also question 13.1 above).

19.3 Could the inclusion of an interest payment obligation in a loan agreement affect its validity and/or enforceability in your jurisdiction? If so, what steps could be taken to mitigate this risk?

Under Spanish law, the inclusion of an interest payment obligation in a loan agreement is fully valid and therefore there is no risk of it affecting its validity and/or enforceability.